Acquisition of English Negation by Norwegian Children

Ravem, R. (1968). Language acquisition in a second language environment. International Review of Applied Linguistics in Language Teaching, 6(2), 175-185.

<u>Negation in Norwegian</u> **Hun er <u>ikke</u> hjemme** She is <u>not</u> at home

Hun kunne <u>ikke</u> gjøre det. She could <u>not</u> do it. Hun har <u>ikke</u> gjort det. She has <u>not</u> done it.

Hun likte <u>ikke</u> bilen. She did <u>not</u> like the car.

No, not mummy said that.

Not mummy skal til London

Hun likte den <u>ikke</u>. She did <u>not</u> like it. Hun satt i en bil [som hun <u>ikke</u> likte]. She sat in a car [that she did <u>not</u> like].

Notice that in English the negator is placed before the lexical verb but after the auxiliary. What prediction would contrastive analysis make about the placement of the negator in the English interlanguage of Norwegians?

Acquisition of English by two Norwegian children, Reidun (3;9-4;7, female) and Rune (6;6-6;11, male)

1. After 4 months of exposure to English L2

Not like it.

No, ikke mummy skal til London

Not I have a butterfly.

2. After 5 months of exposure, Reidun uses intonation (a high-falling nuclear tone) to signal negation

Hun \downarrow have. Hun \downarrow have. (= she hasn't)

 \downarrow Likes her bed. (= she doesn't like her bed)

 \downarrow Like it. (= I don't like it)

3. Negation with main verbs

I not like it.I not know.You not get off the car.You not do it.4.After 7 months of exposureI don't think so.I don't want to ...Jig don't need all ...That don't go.That don't fall down.

Is the prediction of contrastive analysis confirmed? Why or why not?

C:\Documents and Settings\Richard\My Documents\filelib\Documents\English 333\Norwegian.ho.doc